

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,140		07/16/2003	Michel F. Sultan	DP-309232/DEP-0361	1226
22851	7590	03/17/2005		EXAMINER	
DELPHI 1 M/C 480-4		LOGIES, INC.	VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN		
PO BOX 50				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI	48007			2859	
				DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,140	SULTAN ET AL.	$(\mathbb{Q}_{N}$
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gail Verbitsky	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO! e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			,
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	⁻		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under a closed in accordance.	·	·	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) 🗌 objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	•	` .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/621,140 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-24, drawn to temperature sensor, classified in class 374, subclass 120.
 - II. Claims 25-31, drawn to method and system, classified in class 374, subclass 172.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions: Invention I is directed to a temperature sensor comprising a substrate and a membrane having resistances, while the method of Invention II does not require the particular temperature sensor of Invention I. Thus, the method of Invention II could be done with another temperature sensor (i.e., temperature sensor as described in claim 31), not necessarily with the temperature sensor of Invention I. The invention II does not require the particular temperature sensor comprising a membrane resistance and a substrate resistance required by Invention I.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2859

4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Troy on March 04, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related devices and methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner Verbitsky who can be reached at (571) 272-2253 Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:00 ET.

GKV

Gail Verbitsky

Primary Patent Examiner, TC 2800

6. Welish

March 04, 2005